IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB Case No. 99-447) In respective to the policition of: In respective to the policition of: Michael S. Borella et al. Serial No.: 09/511,735 Group Art Unit: 2144 Filed: February 24, 2000 For: Method and Application Programming Interface of for Assigning Multiple Network Addresses IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB Case No. 99-447) Examiner: Bengzon, Greg C. Group Art Unit: 2144

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached
 - a. Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85
 - b. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
 - c. Check in the Amount of \$1,403.00
 - d. Return Receipt Postcard
- 2. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- 3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.10: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper described in paragraph 1, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the 19th of December, 2006. Express Mail Label No.: EV 596646888 US.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: December 19, 2006

By:

Thomas E. Wettermann

Reg. No. 41,523



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB Case No. 99-447)

n re Application of:)	
	Michael S. Borella et al.)	Croup Art Units 2144
Serial I	No.:	09/511,735)	Group Art Unit: 2144
iled:	Februa	ry 24, 2000) Examiner: Bengzon, Greg C)	
or:	Method and Application Programming Interface for Assigning Multiple Network Addresses		;))	

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed October 5, 2006, the Applicants express appreciation for the allowance of the present application. The Applicants note the Examiner's reasons for allowance, but further comment that the art of record, along and in combination, fails to show, teach or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims of the present invention.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims. The Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. The Applicants believe that the Statements of Reasons for Allowance in this case are improper as it merely copies limitations of the claims

into the reasons for allowance. While the Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, the Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in the features, as explicitly set forth in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: December 19, 2006

By:

Thomas E. Wettermann

Reg. No. 41,523